



Rs. 50.00
ISSN-0566-2257

UNIVERSITY NEWS

A Weekly Journal of Higher Education

Association of Indian Universities

Vol. 63 • No. 25 • June 23-29, 2025

Special Issue

on

ENVISIONING FUTURE HIGHER EDUCATION: THE PIVOTAL ROLE OF INDIA

on the occasion of

99TH AIU ANNUAL GENERAL MEET

&

NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF VICE CHANCELLORS

hosted by

AMITY UNIVERSITY, NOIDA

on

(JUNE 23-24, 2025)

Artificial Intelligence in Higher Education

G S Bajpai* and Riya Gulati**

Artificial Intelligence (AI) could transform education, not only in terms of learning and teaching, research and institutional practice, but also in curriculum design, educator professional development, learner assessment, and policy and planning in education, to stimulate progress towards SDG 4. Knowledge is fundamental to economic development and international competitiveness. Whereas traditional economies were mainly based upon resources, labour, and manual tools, today's most successful economies are based up knowledge, defined by their intellectual capital, innovation, and emerging technologies. As a major technology facilitator, AI is trans formatively shaping higher education for the knowledge economy. Institutions that strategically integrate AI in their educational contexts can enhance accessibility, advance pedagogical and institutional innovation, and maintain agility in the face of rapidly changing educational trends and workforce needs.

The trajectory of higher education is transfiguring as it is no longer bound by the conventional pathways of instruction; the integration of advanced technology, notably artificial intelligence, has disrupted established standards and practices. Higher education is confronted with a pressing paradox: despite growing demands for transformative pedagogical innovation such as interdisciplinary integration, competency-based learning, immersive digital learning, and AI-powered personalised instruction, the underlying structures and delivery methods of education remain static and rooted in conventional models. This disjunction between educational aspirations and institutional practices reveals a structural inertia that risks undermining the potential benefits of emerging technologies, including AI (Mathur & Gulati, 2023).

AI is a scientific technique that examines how natural processes operate and seeks to emulate them. It is more specifically applied in circumstances where it is exceedingly difficult to model a phenomenon

(Marwala, 2013). With respect to education, AI has the potential to process large amounts of diverse data to recognise patterns of student behaviour, predict learning outcomes, adjust instruction for diverse learner needs, and provide real-time feedback. AI is rapidly becoming a means to address systemic imbalances in education. It provides access to learners from diverse economic backgrounds, persons with disabilities, and those in remote regions through multilingual content, real-time translation, and the use of assistive technologies. These advancements are consistent with the fundamental goals of higher education, i.e., equity, empowerment, and lifelong learning. But the long-term success of AI-based education has structural challenges like economic disparities, digital infrastructure deficits, low internet bandwidth, and the digital divide between generations. AI can be a catalytic equaliser in higher education if its deployment is guided by inclusive design principles and supported by systemic reforms that ensure access, affordability, and inclusive digital literacy.

AI stands at the brink of immense opportunity and uncertainty in higher education. It provides capabilities that have the potential to revolutionise the teaching, from adaptive learning systems to auto-grading and advanced data analytics (Kaswan, Dhatterwal, & Ojha 2024). However, its effective use depends not on its technical capabilities alone, but on the clarity of educational objectives it is meant to serve. Institutional clarity remains lacking around the pedagogical framework of AI, specifically, in delineating the roles of educators, learners, and the AI systems themselves within formal educational settings. In spite of the rising integration of artificial intelligence tools in institutions, there is an absence of guidelines regarding ethical deployment, instructional alignment, and measurable learning outcomes (Bond et al. 2024). The potential of AI to support learning is contextual as its effectiveness depends on how well its application complements human instruction, fosters critical thinking, and respects the diverse needs of students.

While numerous institutions have made substantial investments in digital technologies, often motivated by aspirations for enhanced

* *Vice Chancellor, National Law University, New Delhi, Delhi-110078. E-mail: gs.bajpai@niudelhi.ac.in*

** *Academic Fellow, National Law University, New Delhi, Delhi-110078. E-mail: riya.gulati@niudelhi.ac.in*

prestige, research capacity, and operational efficiency, relatively few have established a coherent strategic framework that aligns these tools with their core educational missions. In most cases, the integration of technology has proceeded without an articulated, principled approach to when and how such tools should be used to meaningfully support learning. Even fewer institutions have critically examined how their educational missions align with the shifting modalities through which today's learners engage with knowledge, both formally and informally, in a digital way (Palfrey, 2012). Institutions of higher learning should reassess their roles in shaping the future of education. Rather than farming out their educational responsibilities, they should take the initiative on developing educational models that integrate robust pedagogical principles with emerging technological capabilities. AI serves as a catalyst, enabling more personalised learning pathways, expanding the reach and effectiveness of mentorship, and facilitating instructional adaptability through analysis of learner-generated data. A critical part of this process is striking an effective balance between individualised learning pathways and collaborative, socially embedded educational experiences. AI can facilitate tailored learning pathways that respond to the distinct competencies, needs, and paces of individual learners (Salameh, 2024). At the same time, it can reinforce collaborative learning by analysing interaction patterns, optimising peer group formations, and fostering digital communities of practice (Tan et al., 2022). The effective use of AI in pedagogy hinges on its alignment with foundational educational values, ensuring that technological innovations amplify, rather than displace, the human dimensions of teaching and learning. The integration of AI in higher education demands more than innovation; it requires intention. Rather than beginning with the question of what AI can do, institutions must start with what they want to attain pedagogically. From this foundation, they can identify where and how AI can amplify those objectives.

Impact of Artificial Intelligence in Higher Education

Significance

AI is playing a significant role in higher education by enabling more open, flexible, and inclusive learning environments. Through intelligent tutoring systems, adaptive learning platforms,

and automated content delivery, AI facilitates informal and lifelong learning by expanding access to an array of educational opportunities beyond conventional institutional boundaries. These AI-powered systems can dynamically tailor content to individual learners' needs, preferences, and pace, thereby dismantling traditional barriers to education. This technological shift supports broader participation in teaching and learning by making high-quality educational resources and learning opportunities more accessible and personalised. AI holds transformative potential for addressing educational inequality. It can serve underprivileged, marginalised, or geographically isolated learners by delivering scalable and culturally adaptable learning solutions. Moreover, AI-enabled learning tools can help reduce academic isolation for diasporic scholars or those disconnected from mainstream academic communities, thereby promoting inclusivity and a socially responsive educational system.

AI has the potential to be an enabler of equity and inclusion in higher education. While higher education is instrumental in shaping students' cognitive and practical skills for meaningful employment and socio-economic advancement, this role becomes even more crucial for individuals who face systemic barriers, such as persons with disabilities and students from remote or linguistically diverse regions. Despite legislative initiatives to promote inclusive education, persons with disabilities continue to face disproportionately high rates of dropout, illiteracy, and limited access to higher education opportunities (Saksena, Sharma, & Tiwary, 2019). Students in remote areas face similar barriers due to infrastructural limitations, language barriers, and a lack of personalised support. The strategic integration of AI can help bridge systemic gaps. AI technologies, when designed with accessibility and inclusivity as their core, can address key aspects of educational inequality. For instance, AI-powered tools can convert course materials into accessible formats such as text-to-speech, braille, or sign language. Real-time translation and multilingual content generation can support learners from diverse linguistic backgrounds. Adaptive learning systems can personalise instruction to suit varied learning needs, helping students with disabilities or learning challenges progress at their own pace. To ensure equal opportunities in education, it is crucial to address not only technological and infrastructural

barriers but also social, cultural, and attitudinal factors. Accessibility must go beyond physical infrastructure to include inclusive curricula design, equitable teaching practices, diversified assessment methods, and the underlying attitudes and approaches of institutions. An inclusive higher education system, supported by AI, can empower all students regardless of ability or geography, to achieve their full potential.

AI offers substantial potential to improve both collaborative and personalised learning in education (Kovari, 2025). In computer-supported collaborative learning, AI enables collaborative learning among students who are not co-located, particularly through asynchronous online discussions. Machine learning and text processing allow these systems to monitor discussions and provide educators with data to guide and improve student participation and learning. In terms of personalisation, AI can construct detailed learner profiles, identifying each student's learning patterns, difficulties, and preferences, and suggest individualised learning paths. It also helps reduce the administrative workload on instructors by automating repetitive tasks such as creating assignments, answering routine queries, and grading. This allows instructors to concentrate on direct student engagement and instructional design. The use of AI in a dual-teacher system, pairing human instructors with virtual teaching assistants, demonstrates new ways to redistribute teaching responsibilities and improve instructional quality. AI is also being applied to assessment, not only to mark standardised tests but to learn from teacher grading patterns in evaluating written work, offering consistency and saving time.

Challenges

Technology is deeply intertwined with social systems, influencing and shaping each other. Technological systems are never isolated; they come with inherent control mechanisms and often produce unintended side effects. All technological systems carry the possibility of failure, no matter how advanced they may seem. Social structures also impose limitation on the openness and accessibility to technology, guiding how and when technologies are adopted and regulated (Srivastava, 2018). Within higher education, artificial intelligence is reshaping both academic and administrative workflows to foster personalised learning experiences, yet

raising ethical concerns around data privacy, equity, and potential biases in automated decisions. If AI advancement in higher education is to be an effective tool, its technical abilities need to be thoroughly assessed in light of its sociological side effects, especially with respect to fairness, ethical integrity, and retention of human engagement in learning. Although the application of AI offers enhanced efficiency, it also raises fundamental issues concerning data governance, unauthorised access, informed consent, and potential misuse of sensitive information. Privacy and security are no longer negotiable or subordinate considerations; they are basic rights that should be protected in online learning.

Surveillance modes (such as eye tracking and facial expression analysis) driven by AI are used in online learning to improve participation and interaction between the student and instructor. Continuous tracking of non-voluntary behaviours carries considerable privacy and ethical concerns. Moreover, due to AI's dependence on algorithmic interpretation, the resulting evaluation is generally superficial and possibly distorted from actual student involvement, which in turn can detract from interpersonal communication critical to teaching. And, the amount of data that is collected and processed raises questions about ethical compliance, data integrity, and misuse. At the same time, these factors impede the feasibility and credibility of AI surveillance as an indicator of student engagement, and they also run the risk of undermining the authenticity and mutual trust which is at the very foundation of productive teaching and learning.

Although AI in higher education offers promising opportunities for enhancing personalization and efficiency, the complexities and practical implications of such implementation are challenging. The excessive dependence on algorithmic decision-making, resulting in the profiling of students, misuse of data, and the undermining of student privacy, particularly via commercial exploitation of private data, is problematic. Most educational institutions, particularly in resource-deprived areas, lack the IT infrastructure, digital literacy, and socio-economic standing necessary to integrate AI in education (AIEd) effectively, further deepening the divide. In addition, teachers may not be well-prepared to respond with flexibility, so there may be resistance, misapplication, or poor implementation. Unreflective reliance on AI by learners could

create additional negative consequences, such as lower cognitive engagement, increased academic dishonesty, and an inhibition of deep learning strategies. Additionally, as generative AI tools become more proficient at generating contextually sensitive content, concerns about misinformation, ethical misuse, and deepfakes that undermine the veracity and authenticity of educational outputs will grow. Solving these systemic problems calls for cautious, fair, and human-centered use of AI Ed, and strong oversight and support systems to mitigate unintended consequences.

Governance Mechanisms AI Integration In Higher Education

AI is disrupting so many aspects of our society, and higher education is no different. Higher education, being central to the knowledge economy, is driving significant changes in its structure and delivery. Advances in technology have enabled educational institutions to break out of geographic and political boundaries and offer universal learning. As information technologies revolutionise education, the need for accountability in higher education systems increases with their integration into the structure of global markets (Agarwal, 2009). If AI has the power to radically change the ways in which knowledge is accessed, delivered, and assessed, it also demands a fundamental reconsideration of the premises of our educational systems. The application of AI in the field of education gives rise to a number of challenges that have to be regulated. First, there are substantial ethical implications. How do we ensure that AI application in education upholds values such as privacy, fairness, transparency, and inclusivity, while addressing risks related to algorithmic bias and surveillance, etc. Second, jurisprudential challenge is: What are the implications of delegating educational decision-making to algorithmic processes that may lack accountability, interpretability, or contextual understanding? And third, considering the regulatory dimension (Blackman, 2015), how should legal and policy frameworks effectively govern the deployment of AI in education without stifling innovation or compromising the integrity of the learning environment? With AI increasingly being embedded in education, these challenges emphasise the need for adaptable regulation that can adequately address AI's role in education.

Given the widespread adoption and development of AI tools in higher education, legal and regulatory systems face a series of critical responsibilities. First, the law should maintain continuity and institutional stability amidst the rapid emergence of AI tools and platforms within higher education institutions. Second, it must reduce the risk factors in AI systems such as bias, unfairness, violation of data protection rights, erosion of academic integrity, while fostering innovation that will enhance learning, teaching administration. Third, the legal framework must actively protect core societal values, including academic freedom, human rights, and human dignity, ensuring that AI does not overstep the ethical boundaries established by educational communities. Fourth, given the international scope of AI development and deployment, national legal systems must rise to the challenge of regulating AI within a transnational context, engaging with international, regional, and local governance mechanisms. Finally, in an era where there is a growing inclination to prioritise technological efficiency over legal and ethical scrutiny, the law must safeguard the foundational principles of the rule of law (Brownsword & Goodwin, 2012), ensuring that the human-centered mission of education remains paramount.

Scope of Artificial Intelligence in Higher Education

As artificial intelligence and advanced technologies become integral to economic and social systems, the long-term viability of a modern society increasingly depends on developing a broadly educated and highly skilled population. While engineers and technical experts play a vital role in driving innovation, the success of a technologically advanced nation depends equally on a well-educated and adaptable workforce that understands and can engage with emerging digital tools, particularly AI. The foundation of such a society must be built through an inclusive and forward-thinking educational system. Higher education, in particular, must adapt to prepare students not just with traditional scientific and technical knowledge, but also with the capacity to understand, develop, and responsibly deploy AI across all domains. To meet this challenge, education must be made not only universal but deeply relevant, geared toward national progress through the development of both technical competence and ethical leadership (Saigol, 2007). AI literacy must

become a core component of curricula, enabling students to think critically about its applications, implications, and potential to transform industries and solve societal challenges. Developing proficiency in artificial intelligence is now a prerequisite for sustained industrial growth, economic resilience, and global competitiveness. Higher education must take the lead in preparing future generations with the skills, vision, and integrity to shape an AI-augmented future for the benefit of all.

The scope of AI in higher education expands well beyond the classroom, influencing every aspect of academic life, from research and institutional management to student engagement and international collaboration on AI-driven teaching, learning, and innovation. Moving towards a curriculum where standardisation fades and variation becomes the norm (on subject content, subject combinations, levels of discourse, etc.) could be one method of increasing the relevance and effectiveness of higher education (Dandekar, 2018). AI can assess student progress as well as preferences, enabling personalised learning experiences that are cross-disciplinary and give students the freedom to create their academic pathway. Such flexibility creates a more flexible learning and educational paradigm that is more inclusive, engaging, and forward-looking as it accommodates the diverse needs of learners and educates them for the changing requirements of the global workforce market.

Despite the transformative potential of AI in expanding access to higher education, there is a significant digital divide, and PwDs, learners from socio-economically deprived backgrounds, linguistic minority communities, and learners in remote or rural areas are disproportionately impacted. Most of these learners from marginalised communities remain scarred from the opportunities provided by AI-powered education transformation because of systemic barriers like a lack of digital infrastructure, limited digital literacy, inadequate support systems, and the absence of inclusive designs in AI solutions. This exclusion is further enhanced and bolstered by low awareness, limited institutional support, and inaccessible technologies, which restrict educational opportunities (Agarwal, 2019). For that reason, AI is also at risk of exacerbating rather than relieving educational imbalances, unless it is designed and deployed equitably. If the full potential of AI is to be realised in higher education,

it is critical to focus on the design and development of adaptive, inclusive, and context-aware AI systems that directly grapple with the differential experiences of diverse learners, including those from marginalised, underrepresented, and excluded communities. Bridging the gap presents not just a technological problem but also a crucial step toward fostering equitable access to knowledge and opportunity for all.

As higher education institutions increasingly digitise their operations and contribute to the expansion of the global infosphere, the utility of AI systems is expected to grow exponentially. These systems offer a form of 'smart agency', an augmented capacity to process information, generate insights, and support decision-making, which, when aligned with human intelligence, has the potential to significantly enhance institutional and individual effectiveness within higher learning. However, the transformative potential of AI also carries the corresponding risk of eroding accountability if not governed appropriately. It is an urgent need to assess if the current regulatory models are ethically sound and flexible enough in the face of technological progress (Floridi, 2023). Ensuring ethical implementation, effective governance, and cross-sector collaboration is essential to leveraging AI in ways that uphold academic integrity and institutional trust.

Summing Up

The presence of AI in higher education stands to revolutionise the teaching and learning process. This shift entails a deep consideration of the many opportunities, as well as potential pitfalls, including concerns about data privacy, equity in the use of algorithms, and the potential diminution of basic teacher-student communication. With the potential to streamline administrative processes, facilitate curriculum design, and increase equity in access to high-quality education while AI can support these aims, its effectiveness relies on the ethical use that is ensconced within pedagogical models. Optimal use of AI in higher education will mandate responsible design, equitable access, continuous ethical assessment, and a reaffirmation of the essential role of human educators. Strategic integration of AI in higher education depends on rigorous oversight, robust research, and policy frameworks that tackle algorithmic bias, protect learner autonomy, and privacy.

References and Readings

1. Agarwal, P. (2009). *Indian Higher Education: Envisioning the Future*. New Delhi: Sage.
2. Agarwal, P. (2019). Need and Importance of Open Educational Resources (OER) in the Higher Education of Students with Visual Impairment. In P. Rai, A. Singh & S.I. Bakhshi (eds), *Bridging Educational Divides: MOOCs & OERs*. New Delhi: NLUD Press.
3. Blackman, J. (2015). The Path of Big Data and the Law. In H. K. Jayasuriya & K. A. Ritchie (eds), *Big Data, Big Challenges in Evidence-Based Policymaking*. West Academic.
4. Bond, M., et al. (2024). A Meta-systematic Review of Artificial Intelligence in Higher Education: A Call for Increased Ethics, Collaboration, and Rigour. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*.
5. Brownsword, R. and Goodwin, M. (2012). *Law and the Technologies of the Twenty-First Century*. NY: Cambridge University Press.
6. Dandekar, V., M. (2018). Reform of Higher Education. In J. B.G. Tilak (ed), *Higher Education in India: In Search of Equality, Quality and Quantity*. Hyderabad: Orient BlackSwan.
7. Floridi, L. (2023). *The Ethics of Artificial Intelligence: Principles, Challenges, and Opportunities*. Oxford University Press.
8. Kaswan, K.S., Dhatteval, J.S., and Ojha, R.P. (2024). AI in personalized learning. In A. Garg, B.V. Babu & V.E. Balas (eds), *Advances in Technological Innovations in Higher Education: Theory and Practices*. Florida: CRC Press.
9. Kovari, A. (2025). A Systematic Review of AI-Powered Collaborative Learning in Higher Education: Trends and Outcomes from the Last Decade. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, 11.
10. Marwala, T. (2013). *Economic Modelling Using Artificial Intelligence Methods*. London: Springer.
11. Mathur, P. and Gulati, D. (2023). Digital Transformations and Higher Education Institutions. In G.S. Bajpai, R. Paul, P. Rai & A. Singh (eds.), *Technology Integration in Higher Education: Opportunities and Challenges*. New Delhi: NLUD Press.
12. Palfrey, J. (2012). Smarter Law School Casebooks. In E. Rubin (ed.), *Legal Education in the Digital Age*. NY: Cambridge University Press.
13. Rai, P. and Singh, A. (2019). *Transcending Technology: A Cognitive Learning Towards AI*. New Delhi: Satyam International.
14. Rai, P. (2023). Digital Initiative of Government of India in Higher Education: Exploration of E-PG Pathshala in Law. *Library Herald* 61: 42-54.
15. Saigol, R. (2007). Glories of the Past, Aspirations for the Future: Time as a Dimension of National Education in Pakistan. In K. Kumar & J. Oosterheld (eds), *Education and Social Change in South Asia*. New Delhi: Orient Longman.
16. Saksena, S., Sharma, R., and Tiwary, B. (2019). Understanding Accessibility, Inclusion and Performance of Students with Disabilities in Higher Education: A Case Study of University of Delhi. In S. Bhushan (ed), *The Future of Higher Education in India*. Singapore: Springer.
17. Salameh, W.A.K. (2024). The Dual Role of AI in Personalised Learning: Enhancements and Hindrances for Diverse Learners. *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*, 13.
18. Srivastava, L. (2018). *Science, Technology and Human Rights*. Thomson Reuters.
19. Tan, S.C., et al. (2022). A Systematic Review of Artificial Intelligence Techniques for Collaborative Learning Over the Past Two Decades. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 3. □